

DotAsia Board Community Projects Committee (BCC) Meeting Minutes

Date(s) & Time:	April 14, 2021 (Wednesday) 12:01 – 12:55 HKT
Location:	DotAsia Zoom Meeting Room: http://aji.asia/zoomboardcommunity
Attendees:	<p>Santanu ACHARYA * Kashif ADEEL ** Andi BUDIMANSYAH Nicole CHAN Joel DISINI Maureen HILYARD ** Ellen STRICKLAND Edmon CHUNG Freda CHEUNG (DotAsia Team)</p> <p>Absent: Billy CHEON</p> <p>* Joined meeting during Discussion Item 2.1. ** Joined meeting during Discussion Item 3.2.1.</p>

No.	Action Items:	Owner	Remarks
C2021.02.A01	Draft SCP project reporting form for BCC consideration.	Edmon CHUNG	Completed. Refer to Discussion Item 3.
C2021.02.A02	Follow up with AC members on creating a dynamic collision at the APriGF.	Edmon CHUNG	To be brought up at APriGF MSG.
C2021.04.A01	Seek advice from other grants giving organizations on publishing financial details of the application and reporting.	Edmon CHUNG	

Discussions:

1. **Roll Call**
 - 1.1. Ms. Ellen STRICKLAND led the meeting as BCC Chair.
 - 1.2. Roll call. Those present for all or part of the meeting are included in the Attendees section.
2. **Approval of Last Board Community Projects Committee Meeting Minutes (Mar 15, 2021)**
 - 2.1. Draft 2 of BCC meeting minutes 2021.03.15 and action items were presented.

- 2.2. BCC meeting minutes 2021.03.15 approved unanimously.

3. **BCC-003: SCP Report**

- 3.1. Draft 1 of BCC-003: SCP Report was presented and briefed to BCC for discussion.
- 3.2. There was discussion on the social impact of SCP on 5 dimensions of stakeholders.
 - 3.2.1. It was proposed to consolidate social and community dimensions in future development.
 - 3.2.2. It was explained that the 5 dimensions framework was adopted from the standard framework developed by the Hong Kong Council of Social Services (HKCSS) and used by Hong Kong non-profit organizations, which was discussed and adopted previously for DotAsia's Social Impact Assessment (SIA) framework. It was further explained that the Social dimension refers to the interactions between individuals who are participating in the project, whereas Community dimension refers to the interactions with the larger community.
 - 3.2.3. The team will work on simplifying the report form while retaining the spirit of the HCSS standard as adapted by the DotAsia SIA framework.
- 3.3. A suggestion was raised to publish detailed financial information of SCP applications and to request and publicly post detailed financial allocation and supporting documents (e.g. purchase receipts, actual equipment) of spent fund for transparency in the use of funds.
 - 3.3.1. Concerns were raised about the sensitivity of financial information of proposals and reports should they be publicly posted, and that grant recipient organizations may see that as confidential information.
 - 3.3.2. It was further highlighted most other grants do not publish financial information to that detail as they are considered confidential information that may also have privacy concerns.
 - 3.3.3. It was proposed to have the DotAsia team seek advice from other grants giving organizations on the matter. (C2021.04.A01)
- 3.4. A question was raised regarding what reports were maintained from previous SCPs. It was clarified that there was no reporting requirement on SCP funds before.
- 3.5. Question was raised on whether there is any strategy to draw more SCP applications. It was explained that the SCP was original designed to be a small part of DotAsia work, to ensure that DotAsia would be contributing to the Asia Pacific Internet community. The work of DotAsia has, over the years already demonstrated its commitment to supporting the community.
- 3.6. Further discussion on future development of the SCP will be continued at the next BCC meeting.

4. **Ajitora-APNIC-APrIGF project on Internet Impact on Climate Change**

- 4.1. Outline of the project was briefed to BCC.

- 4.2. It was reported that DotAsia and APNIC Foundation have been working on the project since early 2020, and a potential funder for the pilot component of the project is now identified. The pilot project would include research on developing a narrative to raise the awareness of the growing impact on climate change the Internet has, along with a test series of social media posts leveraging the Ajitora project.

5. Dynamic Coalition/Best Practice Forum like component at APriGF

- 5.1. It as reported that at the previous AC meeting, the AC recommended not to proceed with a subcommittee to discuss broader collaboration initiatives, but instead a suggestion was raised on potentially bringing the prospective discussions and collaborations to the APriGF in the form of a dynamic coalition or best practice forum.
- 5.2. There was discussion on how best to organise the ideas into a session at APriGF. Further discussions to be brought up to the Multistakeholder Steering Group (MSG) leadership and the MSG of the APriGF. (C2021.02.A02)

6. Next Meeting Schedule

- 6.1. It was proposed to have future BCC meetings at HKT12:00 (UTC 04:00).